IPM/P-2014/002

arXiv:1401.2807v3 [hep-th] 12 Aug 2014

Thermalization in backgrounds with hyperscaling violating factor

Mohsen Alishahiha[a], Amin Faraji Astaneh[b,c] and M. Reza Mohammadi Mozaffar[a]

[a] *School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

[b] *Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11365-9161, Tehran, Iran*

[c] *School of Particles and Accelerators, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM) P.O. Box 19395-5531, Tehran, Iran*

E-mails: alishah@ipm.ir, faraji@ipm.ir, m_mohammadi@ipm.ir

Abstract

We present an analytic solution of a Vaidya-charged black hole with a hyperscaling violating factor in an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton model, where the scalar potential plays a key role in the existence of the solution. By making use of this result, we study the process of thermalization after a global quench in a theory which its gravitational description is provided by the resultant solution in the case of zero charge. In particular, we probe the system by entanglement entropy and show that it exhibits certain scaling behaviors during the process.

1 INTRODUCTION

One of the interesting phenomena which appears in different areas of physics is the process of thermalization of a nonequilibrium state. In the process of thermalization, there are several interesting issues which are worth exploring. These include, for example, how fast the process of thermalization is and what kind of quantities can probe the process. Indeed, being out of equilibrium the thermodynamical quantities such as temperature, entropy, pressure, etc., may not be well defined during the process of thermalization. Therefore, the standard thermodynamics which usually provides a useful tool to study long-range physics is not applicable in this case. Nevertheless, there might be other quantities that could probe the system when it thermalizes from a nonequilibrium state to a thermal state . A prototype example of such quantities is entanglement entropy, which can be defined even when the system is out of equilibrium.

Of course, for a generic quantum system it is difficult to compute entanglement entropy, though for those systems (typically the strongly coupled ones) which have gravitational descriptions [1] one may utilize the holographic description of the entanglement entropy [2,3] to study its behavior. We note, however, that since the system is time dependent in order to compute the holographic entanglement entropy one should use its covariant proposal [4].

In the context of gauge/gravity duality the process of thermalization may be mapped to a black hole formation due to a gravitational collapse which can be modelled by an AdS-Vaidya metric. The corresponding metric describing the collapse of neutral matter in $D+2$ dimensions is

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{\rho^2}\bigg(f(\rho,v)dv^2 - 2d\rho dv + d\vec{x}^2\bigg), \qquad f(\rho,v) = 1 - m(v)\,\rho^{D+1}, \tag{1.1}$$

where ρ is the radial coordinate, x_i's ($i = 1, ..., D$) are spatial boundary coordinates, and v is the null coordinate. Here $m(v)$ is an arbitrary function of the null coordinate v satisfying $\partial_v m(v) > 0$. This constraint is required by the null energy condition. In what follows, we will consider the case of $m(v) = m\ \theta(v)$, where m is a constant and $\theta(v)$ is the step function. Therefore for $v < 0$ the geometry is an AdS metric, while for $v > 0$ it is an AdS-Schwarzschild black hole whose horizon is located at $\rho_H = m^{-1/(D+1)}$. The AdS radius is also set to one.

The above background (with $m(v) = m\ \theta(v)$) could provide a gravitational description for a sudden change in a strongly coupled field theory which might be caused by turning on a source for an operator in an interval $\delta t \to 0$. This change can excite the system to an excited state with nonzero energy density that could eventually thermalize to an equilibrium thermal state. Since we are considering a sudden change in the theory, it is then natural to think of the process as a thermalization after *a global quantum quench*.

Therefore, in order to study entanglement entropy during the process of thermalization, after a global quantum quench one needs to compute the holographic entanglement entropy in the above time-dependent background by using its covariant proposal [4]. Holographically, the entanglement

entropy can be computed by extremizing the area of a codimension-two hypersurface in the AdS-Vaidya geometry (1.1) whose boundary coincides with the boundary of the entangling region [2–4]. Indeed, by making use of this prescription, the holographic entanglement entropy in this background has been studied in several papers, including Refs. [5–17], where it was shown that the holographic entanglement entropy exhibits different scaling behaviors as the system evolves in time.

The above consideration may be compared with the results of Ref. [18], where the behavior of the entanglement entropy after a global quantum quench for a two-dimension CFT was studied. Although the quench considered in this case is different from that studied holographically, there is an agreement between the results of these two different setups. Of course, this agreement might be understood from the fact that in both cases one is considering the evolution of an excited state in a CFT. Keeping this distinction in our mind, in what follows we will refer to our setup as a gravitational description for a thermalization process after a global quantum quench.

Generally, the behavior of the entanglement entropy during the evolution of the system consists of two phases: a time growing phase and a saturation phase where the entanglement entropy saturates to its equilibrium value. Actually, one may associate two time scales to the system which are the radius of the horizon, ρ_H, and the size of the entangling region. For example, if the entangling region is a strip, the size is given by its width ℓ. Indeed, the theory would reach local equilibrium at $t \sim \rho_H$ when the system stops producing thermodynamic entropy, while at $t \sim \frac{\ell}{2}$ the entanglement entropy saturates to its equilibrium value [5–7]. When $\frac{\ell}{2} \lesssim \rho_H$ the entanglement entropy saturates at $t \sim \frac{\ell}{2}$ before the system reaches local equilibrium, whereas for $\frac{\ell}{2} \gg \rho_H$ the entanglement entropy is far from its equilibrium value even though the system is locally equilibrated.

From a gravity point of view, when $\frac{\ell}{2} \lesssim \rho_H$ the corresponding codimension-two hypersurface is always outside the horizon,[1] whereas for $\frac{\ell}{2} \gg \rho_H$ the codimension-two hypersurface may penetrate into the horizon. Actually, in this case the evolution of the entanglement entropy is controlled by the geometry around and inside the horizon for $t \gtrsim \rho_H$ [20, 21].

The aim of this paper is to extend the consideration of Refs. [20, 21] for those strongly coupled theories whose gravitational descriptions are provided by a Vaidya metric with a hyperscaling violating factor [22–24].[2] To do so, we first need to find a Vaidya metric with a hyperscaling violating factor. This is, indeed, what we will do in the next section. Then we will study the time dependence of holographic entanglement entropy in the resultant geometry. The entanglement entropy for Vaidya-Lifshitz geometry has been also studied in [25].

Although our original intention was to study the behavior of entanglement entropy during a global quantum quench in a strongly coupled field theory whose gravitational description is pro-

[1] Actually, it was observed in Ref. [19] that the extremal surfaces in the bulk cannot penetrate through the horizon of a static black hole.

[2] A relativistic system with a hyperscaling violation was also discussed in Refs. [20, 21].

vided by the Vaidya metric with a hyperscaling violating factor, one could also study other quantities such as the Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function by making use of gauge/gravity duality. Indeed, in these cases one still needs to extremize the area of certain hypersurfaces in our resultant background. In fact, for the Wilson loop it is a two-dimensional surface [26, 27], while for the equal-time two-point function it is just a geodesic [28]. The behaviors of the Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function of an operator in a global quantum quench in a field theory whose gravity dual is the AdS-Vaidya metric (1.1) have been studied in several papers including Refs. [5–7, 18, 29] (see also [30]).

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we will find an analytic solution of an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton model representing a Vaidya metric with a hyperscaling violating factor. In section three we will consider holographic entanglement entropy where we will also set up a formalism to study the Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function of an operator with a large conformal dimension. In section four we will explore general behaviors of entanglement entropy when the system evolves in time. In particular, we will consider entanglement entropy in more detail for the case where the entangling region is much larger than the radius of the horizon. The last section is devoted to conclusions. Holographic entanglement entropy in a static black hole with a hyperscaling violating factor is reviewed in Appendix A. In Appendix B, some details of the computations are presented .

Note added: Few days after submitting our paper to arXiv, [31] appeared where the same question has been addressed. Indeed this paper has a substantial overlap with of ours. Of course, the authors of [31] have considered both strip and sphere as entangling regions and also presented a numerical analysis. Where overlap exists, the results are in agreement.

2 INFALLING SHELL SOLUTIONS

Hyperscaling violating geometries with nonzero charge have been studied in Ref. [32], where it was shown that an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton model with a particular potential admits such solutions. The corresponding action is

$$S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_N}\int d^{D+2}x\sqrt{-g}\left[R - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 + V_0 e^{\gamma\phi} - \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{N_g} e^{\lambda_i\phi}F^{(i)2}\right], \tag{2.1}$$

where G_N is the Newton constant, γ, V_0, and λ_i are free parameters of the model, and N_g is the number of gauge fields. One of the gauge fields is required to produce an anisotropic scaling symmetry, and the above particular form of the potential is needed to be responsible to get a hyperscaling violating factor. The other gauge fields make the background charged. In what follows, we will consider only $N_g = 2$, though its generalization to other N_g is straightforward. In

this case, the corresponding solution is [32]

$$
\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= r^{-2\frac{\theta}{D}}\bigg(-r^{2z} f(r) dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f(r)} + r^2 d\vec{x}^2 \bigg), \quad \phi = \phi_0 + \beta \ln r, \\
A_t^{(1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2(z-1)}{D-\theta+z}}\, e^{\frac{D-1+\theta/D}{\beta}\phi_0}\; r^{D-\theta+z}, \\
A_t^{(2)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2(D-\theta)}{D-\theta+z-2}}\, e^{-\frac{z-1-\theta/D}{\beta}\phi_0}\; \frac{Q}{r^{D-\theta+z-2}},
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.2}
$$

with $\beta = \sqrt{2(D-\theta)(z-1-\theta/D)}$ and

$$
f(r) = 1 - \frac{m}{r^{D-\theta+z}} + \frac{Q^2}{r^{2(D-\theta+z-1)}}, \tag{2.3}
$$

where z is the dynamical exponent and θ is the hyperscaling violation exponent. The parameters of the action are also found:

$$
\lambda_1 = \frac{2\theta(D-1) - 2D^2}{D\beta},\ \lambda_2 = \frac{\beta}{D-\theta},\ \gamma = \frac{2\theta}{D\beta},\ V_0 = \frac{(D-\theta+z-1)(D-\theta+z)}{e^{\gamma\phi_0}}. \tag{2.4}
$$

This is indeed a charged black brane solution whose horizon radius r_H is obtained by setting $f(r_H) = 0$. It is useful to define an *effective dimension* $d = D - \theta + 1$ by which the function f, appearing in the metric component g_{vv}, reads

$$
f(r) = 1 - \frac{m}{r^{d-1+z}} + \frac{Q^2}{r^{2(d-2+z)}}. \tag{2.5}
$$

In this notation, the Hawking temperature and the thermal entropy of the solution are, respectively,

$$
T = \frac{(d-1+z) r_H^z}{4\pi}\left(1 - \frac{(d-3+z)}{d-1+z}\,\frac{Q^2}{r_H^{2(d-2+z)}}\right), \qquad S_{BH} = \frac{V_D r_H^{d-1}}{4G_N} \equiv V_D \mathcal{S}_{BH}, \tag{2.6}
$$

where V_D is the volume of the spatial directions, $x_i, i = 1, \cdots, D$, and $\mathcal{S}_{BH}$ is the entropy density.

In what follows, we would like to find a background representing an infalling shell of massless and pressureless charged matter in a hyperscaling violating geometry. The corresponding geometry may be thought of as a Vaidya metric with a hyperscaling violating factor. The Vaidya-Lifshitz geometry has been studied in Ref. [25].

We note that a charged Vaidya space-time is sourced by an energy-momentum tensor and a current density of a massless null charged matter. Therefore, in order to get such a solution, one needs to add a proper extra matter field to the action (2.1). By doing so, the equations of motion

of the action (2.1) should be modified as follows:

$$R_{\mu\nu}+\frac{V(\phi)}{D}g_{\mu\nu}=\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{2}e^{\lambda_i\phi}\left(F^{(i)\,\rho}_{\mu}F^{(i)}_{\rho\nu}-\frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2D}F^{(i)\,2}\right)+T_{\mu\nu},$$
$$\nabla^2\phi=-\frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi}+\frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=1}^{2}\lambda_i e^{\lambda_i\phi}F^{(i)\,2},\qquad \nabla_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}e^{\lambda_i\phi}F^{(i)\,\mu\nu}\right)=J^{(i)\,\nu}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $T_{\mu\nu}$ and $J^{(i)\,\nu}$ are the energy-momentum tensor and current density of the charged matter field, respectively. As we will see for the model we are considering, the corresponding nonzero components of the energy-momentum tensor and current density are T_{vv} and $J^{(2)}_v$.

To find a charged Vaidya solution of the above equations, one may start with a proper ansatz for the metric and other fields. To do so, it is useful to introduce an Eddington-Finkelstein-like coordinate system as follows:

$$dv=dt+\frac{dr}{f(r)r^{z+1}}, \tag{2.8}$$

by which the metric in Eq. (2.2) may be recast to the following form:

$$ds^2=r^{-2\frac{\theta}{D}}\left(-r^{2z}f(r)dv^2+2r^{z-1}drdv+r^2d\vec{x}^2\right). \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover, in this notation in the gauge of $A^{(i)}_r=0$ the nonzero component of the gauge field is $A^{(i)}_v$ and the dilaton remains unchanged. Motivated by this form of the metric, let us consider the following ansatz for the metric, scalar, and gauge fields:

$$ds^2=r^{-2\frac{\theta}{D}}\left(-r^{2z}f(r,v)dv^2+2r^{z-1}drdv+r^2d\vec{x}^2\right),\quad \phi=\phi(r),\quad F^{(1)}_{rv}(r)\neq 0,\quad F^{(2)}_{rv}(r,v)\neq 0, \tag{2.10}$$

and all other components of gauge fields are set to be zero. Note that in this ansatz the scalar field and the first gauge field, which are essential to support a solution with an anisotropic scaling symmetry and hyperscaling violating factor, are independent of the null coordinate v, whereas the function f, appearing in the metric component g_{vv}, and the second gauge field depend on both r and v coordinates.

It is straightforward to plug this ansatz into the equations of motion (2.7) to find the unknown functions. Actually, from the rr component of the Einstein equations, one finds

$$\phi=\phi_0+\sqrt{2(D-\theta)(z-1-\theta/D)}\ln r=\phi_0+\beta\ln r, \tag{2.11}$$

which is the same as that in the static case. In what follows, with no loss of generality one may

set $\phi_0 = 0$. Note that the null energy condition requires [32]

$$(D-\theta)(z-1-\theta/D) \geq 0. \tag{2.12}$$

In this paper, we will consider the case of $z > 1$ and $D > \theta$.

From the ii components of the Einstein equations, taking into account the identifications of (2.4), one can fix the function f as follows:

$$f(r,v) = 1 - \frac{m(v)}{r^{D-\theta+z}} + \frac{Q(v)^2}{r^{2(D-\theta+z-1)}}, \tag{2.13}$$

where $m(v)$ and $Q(v)$ are arbitrary functions of v. The gauge fields can be also obtained from the v component of the Maxwell equations. Taking this equation into account, one can fix the solution completely:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= r^{-2\frac{\theta}{D}}\Big(- r^{2z} f(r,v) dv^2 + 2r^{z-1} dr dv + r^2 d\vec{x}^2\Big), \quad \phi = \beta \ln r, \\ A^{(1)}_v &= \sqrt{\frac{2(z-1)}{D-\theta+z}}\, r^{D-\theta+z}, \qquad A^{(2)}_v = \sqrt{\frac{2(D-\theta)}{D-\theta+z-2}}\, \frac{Q(v)}{r^{D-\theta+z-2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Of course, so far we have not used all equations of motion. Indeed, from the vv component of the Einstein equations and r component of the Maxwell equations, one may read the energy-momentum tensor and the current density which are needed to support an infalling shell solution. More precisely, from the corresponding components of the equations of motion, one finds that the energy-momentum tensor and current density of the charged infalling pressureless matter are given by $T_{\mu\nu} = \varrho U_\mu U_\nu$ and $J^{(2)}_\mu = \varrho_e U_\mu$ with $U_\mu = \delta_{\mu v}$, and

$$\varrho = \frac{\theta - D}{2} \frac{\partial f(r,v)}{\partial v}\, r^z, \qquad \varrho_e = \frac{\partial Q(v)}{\partial v} \sqrt{2(D-\theta)(D-\theta+z-2)}\, r^{\theta-D}. \tag{2.15}$$

Note that the null energy condition requires $\varrho > 0$. Finally, the last nontrivial equation that needs to be checked is the rv component of the Einstein equations. Actually, it is easy to see that this equation is also satisfied without imposing any further constraints.

The solution (2.14) can be thought of as a gravity solution which describes a gravitational collapse of charged matter in a model that has an anisotropic scaling symmetry with a hyperscaling violating factor. By using the gauge/gravity duality, it is plausible to conjecture that this solution provides a gravity description for the process of thermalization after a global quantum quench in a strongly coupled field theory with an anisotropic scaling symmetry and violation of scaling. In what follows, we would like to probe this process by entanglement entropy (and also by the Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function of an operator with a large conformal dimension).

3 ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY

Entanglement entropy may be considered as a useful quantity which could probe a system when it undergoes a rapid change that generally brings the system out of equilibrium. A global quantum quench is a prototype example of a rapid change. In this section, by making use of the holographic description of entanglement entropy, we will study the behavior of the entanglement entropy for a global quantum quench in a strongly coupled field theory whose gravity dual is given by the solution (2.14).

To proceed, for simplicity, we set the charge to zero, $Q(v) = 0$, so that the background is neutral. In this case, by setting $r = \rho^{-1}$ the metric in Eq. (2.14) reads

$$ds^2 = \rho^{2\frac{1-d}{D}}\bigg(-\rho^{2-2z} f(\rho, v) dv^2 - 2\rho^{1-z} d\rho dv + d\vec{x}^2\bigg), \qquad \text{with} \;\; f = 1 - m(v)\rho^{d-1+z}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let us consider an entangling region in the shape of a strip with the width ℓ as follows:

$$-\frac{\ell}{2} \leq x_1 \equiv x \leq \frac{\ell}{2}, \qquad 0 \leq x_a \leq L, \quad \text{for} \;\; a = 2, \cdots, D. \tag{3.2}$$

Now the aim is to compute the entanglement entropy for this strip by using gauge/gravity duality. To do so, one should consider a codimension-two hypersurface in the geometry (3.1) whose boundary coincides with the boundary of the above strip. Of course, since the metric is not static, one needs to use the covariant proposal for the holographic entanglement entropy [4]. Therefore, the corresponding codimension-two hypersurface in the bulk may be parametrized by $v(x)$ and $\rho(x)$. In fact, for the bulk metric (3.1), the induced metric on the hypersurface is

$$ds^2_{\rm ind} = \rho^{2\frac{1-d}{D}}\bigg[\bigg(1 - \rho^{2-2z} f(\rho, v) v'^2 - 2\rho^{1-z} v'\rho'\bigg) dx^2 + dx_a^2\bigg], \tag{3.3}$$

where the "prime" denotes derivative with respect to x. The area of the hypersurface is

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{L^{D-1}}{2} \int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} dx \, \frac{\sqrt{1 - 2\rho^{1-z} v'\rho' - \rho^{2-2z} v'^2 f}}{\rho^{d-1}} \, . \tag{3.4}$$

Then the procedure is to extremize this area to read the entanglement entropy which is proportional to the area of the extremal hypersurface [2–4]:

$$S = \frac{\mathcal{A}_{d-1}}{4G_N}. \tag{3.5}$$

It is worth noting that, although our main aim is to study entanglement entropy during a global quench after which the system undergoes the process of thermalization, with a small modification one may do even more. Indeed, on top of the entanglement entropy, we could also study the Wilson

loop and equal-time two-point function of an operator with a large conformal dimension. More precisely, let us define the quantity $\mathcal{A}_n$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{2}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} dx\, \frac{\sqrt{1-2\rho^{1-z}v'\rho'-\rho^{2-2z}v'^2 f}}{\rho^n} = \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{2}\int_{-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} dx\, \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\rho^n}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then entanglement entropy, the Wilson loop and the equal time two-point function of an operator with a large conformal dimension may be computed by extremizing $\mathcal{A}_n$ for different values of n. In particular, for entanglement entropy one has $n = d-1$, while for the Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function one should set $n = \frac{2(d-1)}{D}$ and $n = \frac{d-1}{D}$, respectively. Having extremized $\mathcal{A}_n$, the corresponding quantities can be found as follows [26–28][3]:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Wilson loop}: &\qquad \langle W\rangle \sim e^{-\frac{\mathcal{A}_{2(d-1)/D}}{2\pi\alpha'}}, \\ \text{equal}-\text{time two}-\text{point function}: &\qquad G \sim e^{-M\mathcal{A}_{(d-1)/D}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where $(2\pi\alpha')^{-1}$ is the string tension and M is the mass of the bulk field which is dual to the boundary operator whose equal-time two-point function is computed. Although in what follows we will consider the entanglement entropy where $n = d-1$, we will keep working with unspecified n so that the final results may be also used to read the Wilson loop and two-point function.

In order to extremize $\mathcal{A}_n$ we note that the expression (3.6) may be thought of as an action for a one-dimensional dynamical system whose dynamical fields are $v(x)$ and $\rho(x)$. We note, however, that since the action is independent of x the corresponding Hamiltonian is a constant of motion:

$$H^{-1} = -\rho^n\mathcal{L} = \text{const.} \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, we have two equations of motion for v and ρ. Indeed, by making use of the above conservation law, the corresponding equations of motion are

$$\partial_x P_v = \frac{P_\rho^2}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}, \qquad \partial_x P_\rho = \frac{P_\rho^2}{2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \rho} + \frac{n}{\rho^{2n+1}}H^{-2} + \frac{1-z}{\rho^{2-z}}P_\rho P_v, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$P_v = \rho^{1-z}(\rho' + \rho^{1-z}v' f), \qquad P_\rho = \rho^{1-z}v', \tag{3.10}$$

are the momenta conjugate to v and ρ up to a factor of H, respectively. These equations have to be supplemented by the following boundary conditions:

$$\rho(\frac{\ell}{2}) = 0, \qquad v(\frac{\ell}{2}) = t, \qquad \rho'(0) = 0, \qquad v'(0) = 0, \tag{3.11}$$

[3]Note that, in the case of a rectangular Wilson loop, ℓ is the width of the loop, and for the equal-time two-point function it is the distance between two operators in the boundary.

and

$$\rho(0) = \rho_t, \qquad v(0) = v_t, \tag{3.12}$$

where (ρ_t, v_t) is the coordinate of the turning point of the extremal hypersurface in the bulk. With these boundary conditions, one has $H = \rho_t^{-n}$. Given a particular form for $m(v)$, one may solve the equations of motion (3.9) to find the extremal hypersurface and thereby to compute the entanglement entropy. Of course, in general, it is not possible to solve the equations analytically, though one may use a numerical method.

It is worth noting that the model we are considering has three free parameters given by the dimension of the space-time, D, the scaling violating parameter θ, and the dynamical exponent z. Therefore, one would naturally expect that the final results should depend on these three parameters. We note, however, that for the entanglement entropy the results are just sensitive to the effective dimension d and the dynamical exponent z, up to an overall factor of L^{D-1} which can be fixed by a dimensional analysis. In other words, even though we are dealing with a hyperscaling violating geometry, nontrivial behaviors of the resultant entanglement entropy are the same as that of a Lifshitz geometry in $d+1$ dimensions.

Actually, the holographic entanglement entropy for four and five dimensional Vaidya-Lifshitz solutions has been studied in Ref. [25]. Thus, for effective dimensions $d = 3$ and $d = 4$ one can read the results from that in [25]. In particular, by making use of a numerical method it was shown that the entanglement entropy grows linearly with time and then saturates to its equilibrium value at the saturation time given by $t_s \sim \ell/2$.

In the next section, we shall further explore the behavior of entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function) for a global quantum quench holographically described by the time-dependent background (2.14) with $Q(v) = 0$ for the case of large entangling regions.

4 GENERAL CONSIDERATION FOR LARGE ENTANGLING REGIONS

In this section, following the recent papers [20, 21], we will study entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function) for the case where the size of the entangling region is large compared to the radius of horizon: $\ell/2 \gg \rho_H$. In this case, the system will reach local equilibrium at $t \sim \rho_H$, which is earlier than the time when the entanglement entropy saturates to its equilibrium value. Indeed, as we will see, the evolution of the extremal hypersurface for $t \gtrsim \rho_H$ is given by the geometry around and inside the horizon. This is unlike the case of $\ell/2 \ll \rho_H$, where the entanglement entropy will be saturated before the time in which the system is locally equilibrated at $t \sim \rho_H$. Therefore, for small size entangling regions, there is no chance to probe the region inside the horizon. This is, indeed, our motivation to deal with large entangling regions.

Since we are interesting in a global quench in an uncharged system, in what follows, we will

consider the following form for the function f, appearing in the g_{vv} component of the metric (3.1):

$$f(\rho, v) = 1 - \theta(v)(\frac{\rho}{\rho_H})^{d-1+z}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\theta(v)$ is the step function whose presence indicates that there is a rapid change in the system as expected for a quench.

Now we would like to solve Eqs. (3.9) for the function f given above. Since the function f contains a step function, in order to solve the equations, it is useful to study them in three separated regions: the $v < 0$ region, the $v > 0$ region, and the matching region at the null shell $v = 0$.

(i) $v < 0$ **region.**

In this region, the step function is zero and thus $f(r, v) = 1$. So the system is in the vacuum state whose gravity dual is given by a hyperscaling violating solution as follows:

$$ds^2 = \rho^{\frac{2(d-1)}{D}} \left(-\rho^{2-2z} dv^2 - 2\rho^{1-z} d\rho dv + d\vec{x}^2\right). \tag{4.2}$$

The scalar field and the gauge field are the same as that in Eq. (2.14).

Since in this case $\frac{\partial f(\rho,v)}{\partial v} = 0$ from the first equation in (3.9), one finds that the momentum conjugate of v is a constant of motion:

$$P_{(i)v} = \rho^{1-z}(\rho' + \rho^{1-z} v') = \text{const} = 0, \tag{4.3}$$

where the index (i) denotes the value of quantities in the $v < 0$ region. Here we have also imposed the boundary conditions (3.11) to show that the "constant" is, indeed, zero. On the other hand, using the conservation law (3.8), one gets

$$v(\rho) = v_t + \frac{1}{z}(\rho_t^z - \rho^z), \qquad x(\rho) = \int_\rho^{\rho_t} \frac{d\xi\ \xi^n}{\sqrt{\rho_t^{2n} - \xi^{2n}}}. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that at the null shell where $v = 0$, from the above equation, one has

$$\rho_c^z = \rho_t^z + z v_t \tag{4.5}$$

which gives the point where the extremal hypersurface intersects the null shell, ρ_c. Moreover, by making use of Eq. (3.8), one finds

$$\rho'_{(i)} = -\rho_c^{1-z} v'_{(i)} = -\sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_c}\right)^{2n} - 1} \tag{4.6}$$

where the index (i) denotes the value of quantities in the $v < 0$ region.

(ii) $v > 0$ **region.**

In this region the corresponding geometry is given by a static black hole with a hyperscaling violating factor. More precisely, the corresponding geometry is

$$ds^2 = \rho^{\frac{2(d-1)}{D}} \left(-\rho^{2-2z} \tilde{f}(\rho) dv^2 - 2\rho^{1-z} d\rho dv + d\vec{x}^2 \right), \quad \text{with} \;\; \tilde{f}(\rho) = 1 - (\frac{\rho}{\rho_H})^{d-1+z} \equiv 1 - g(\rho), \;\; (4.7)$$

and the other fields remain unchanged.

In this case, again, $\frac{\partial f(\rho,v)}{\partial v} = 0$, and therefore the momentum conjugate of v is still a constant of motion, though its value is not zero:

$$P_{(f)\,v} = \rho^{1-z}(\rho' + \rho^{1-z} v' \tilde{f}(\rho)) = \text{const}, \tag{4.8}$$

where the index (f) denotes the value of quantities in the $v > 0$ region.

Plugging this equation into the conservation law (3.8), one arrives at

$$\rho'^2 = \frac{P^2_{(f)v}}{\rho^{2-2z}} + \left(\left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right) \tilde{f}(\rho) \equiv V_{eff}(\rho), \tag{4.9}$$

which can be used to find

$$\frac{dv}{d\rho} = -\frac{1}{\rho^{2(1-z)} \tilde{f}(\rho)} \left(\rho^{1-z} + \frac{P_{(f)v}}{\sqrt{V_{eff}(\rho)}} \right). \tag{4.10}$$

Here $V_{eff}(\rho)$ might be thought of as an effective potential for a one-dimensional dynamical system whose dynamical variable is ρ. In particular, the turning point of the potential can be found by setting $V_{eff}(\rho) = 0$. As we will see, such an interpretation has a physical impact in exploring the behavior of entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function).

(iii) Matching at the null shell.

Having explored a possible solution of the equations (3.9) in $v < 0$ and $v > 0$ regions, it is crucial to match the results of these two regions at the null shell $v = 0$. Of course, since ρ and v are the coordinates of the space-time they should be continuous across the null shell. We note, however, that, since one is injecting matter along the null direction v, one would expect that its corresponding momentum conjugate jumps once one moves from the $v < 0$ region to that of $v > 0$, whereas the momentum conjugate of ρ must be continuous: $v'_{(f)} = v'_{(i)}$. In fact, by integrating the equations of motion across the null shell and taking into account that the presence of a delta function would lead to a step function discontinuity after performing the integration around $v = 0$, one arrives at

$$\rho'_{(f)} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} g(\rho_c) \right) \rho'_{(i)}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{(f)} = \mathcal{L}_{(i)}. \tag{4.11}$$

It is, then, straightforward to read the momentum conjugate of v in the $v > 0$ region:

$$P_{(f)v} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_c^{1-z} g(\rho_c)\rho'_{(i)} = -\frac{1}{2}\rho_c^{1-z} g(\rho_c)\sqrt{\left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_c}\right)^{2n} - 1}. \tag{4.12}$$

Now we have all the ingredients to find the area of the corresponding extremal hypersurface in the bulk. In general, the extremal hypersurface could be extended in both $v < 0$ and $v > 0$ regions of the space-time. Therefore, the width ℓ and the boundary time could have contributions from both regions:

$$\frac{\ell}{2} = \rho_t \left(\int_{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}}^{1} \frac{d\xi\ \xi^n}{\sqrt{1-\xi^{2n}}} + \int_0^{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}} \right), \qquad t = \rho_t^z \int_0^{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}} \frac{d\xi\ \xi^{z-1}}{h(\xi)} \left(1 + \frac{\xi^{z-1} E}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}} \right), \tag{4.13}$$

where $E = P_{(f)v}\rho_t^{z-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} h(\xi) &= \tilde{f}(\rho_t \xi) = 1 - \left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_H}\right)^{d-1+z} \xi^{d-1+z}, \\ R(\xi) &= V_{eff}(\rho_t \xi) = E^2 \xi^{2(z-1)} + \left(\frac{1}{\xi^{2n}} - 1\right) h(\xi). \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

Finally, one finds

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_t^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^n \sqrt{1-\xi^{2n}}} + \int_0^{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{2n}\sqrt{R(\xi)}} \right). \tag{4.15}$$

Note that, in general, $\mathcal{A}_n$ is divergent due to UV effects (large volume), and therefore it should be regularized by a UV cutoff. Of course, since in what follows we are mainly interested in the change of $\mathcal{A}_n$ when the system evolves from the vacuum state to an excited state, $\Delta\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{A}_n - \mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac}$, with

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac} = \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_t^{n-1}} \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi^n \sqrt{1-\xi^{2n}}}, \tag{4.16}$$

the extremal hypersurface in the vacuum solution, $\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac}$, may be thought of as a regulator.

In the rest of this section, using this general consideration we will study the behavior of entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and two-point function) during the process of thermalization after a global quench.

4.1 Early time growth

Let us study the behavior of entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and two-point function) at early times when $t \ll \rho_H^z$. In this case, the crossing point, which is the point where the extremal hypersurface intersects the infalling shell, is very close to the boundary, so that $\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_H} \ll 1$. Therefore, one can expand the expressions of t, ℓ, and $\mathcal{A}_n$ in this limit. More precisely, from Eq. (4.13) one

finds

$$t \approx \rho_t^z \int_0^{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}} d\xi \, \frac{\xi^{z-1}}{h(\xi)} = \frac{\rho_c^z}{z}\left[1 + \frac{z}{d-1+2z}\left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_H}\right)^{d-1+z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_H}\right)^{2(d-1+z)}\right)\right], \tag{4.17}$$

while from Eq. (4.15) and for $d+z-n \neq 0$ at leading order one gets

$$\mathcal{A}_n \approx \mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac} + \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{2(d+z-n)}\frac{\rho_c^{d+z-n}}{\rho_H^{d+z-1}}\left[1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_H}\right)^{d+z-1}\right)\right]. \tag{4.18}$$

Here

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac} = L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}\left(\frac{1}{(n-1)\epsilon^{n-1}} - \frac{c_n}{\ell^{n-1}}\right), \tag{4.19}$$

where ϵ is a UV cutoff and

$$c_n = \frac{2^{n-1}}{n-1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{\pi}\,\Gamma\left(\frac{1+n}{2n}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)}\right)^n. \tag{4.20}$$

Therefore using Eq. (4.17) and setting $m = \rho_H^{1-d-z}$ at leading order one arrives at

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}m}{2(d-n+z)}(zt)^{1+\frac{d-n}{z}}, \tag{4.21}$$

which reduces to that of Vaidya-AdS for $z=1$ [20,21]. For entanglement entropy where $n=d-1$, the above equation reads

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_{d-1} \approx \frac{L^{D-1}m}{2(z+1)}(zt)^{1+\frac{1}{z}}, \tag{4.22}$$

which is independent of θ, as anticipated. So, even though we are dealing with a hyperscaling violating geometry, the early time growth depends only on the dynamical exponent z as if we had considered a $D+2$-dimensional Lifshitz geometry. It is also interesting to note that, for sufficiently large z and arbitrary n, one finds a linear growth at early times:

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}m}{2}\, t. \tag{4.23}$$

On the other hand, for $d+z-n=0$ from Eq. (4.15) one gets a logarithmic behavior as follows[4]:

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}m}{2}\, \ln\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}. \tag{4.24}$$

[4]In fact, in Ref. [33], it was shown that in many-body strongly interacting disordered systems the entanglement entropy presents this universal slow growth behavior at early times. We thank Juan F. Pedraza for a comment on this point.

In this case, Eq. (4.17) is still valid, and therefore at leading order setting $t = \rho_c^z/z$ one finds

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}m}{2z}\ln\frac{zt}{\rho_t^z}. \tag{4.25}$$

It is important to note that, for the case of entanglement entropy where $n = d-1$, the logarithmic behavior occurs for $z = -1$ which together with the null energy condition (2.12) requires leads to $\theta > D$. We note, however, that in this case the corresponding solution might be unstable [24]. Of course, this is not the case we are interested in (see Eq. (2.12) and a line after). Nevertheless, for Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function there is a possibility to have such a behavior, while we are within the range of interest.

4.2 Growth in the intermediate region

In this subsection, we will consider the intermediate region when $\rho_H^z \lesssim t \lesssim \rho_H^{z-1}\frac{\ell}{2}$. In this case a crucial observation which has been made in Refs. [20, 21] is as follows (see also [34] for further discussions).

Actually, in this case the hypersurface could penetrate inside the horizon, typically intersects the null shell at $\rho_c > \rho_H$, and reaches the turning point at $\rho_t > \rho_c$. Moreover, there is a "critical" extremal hypersurface which intersects the null shell behind the horizon at a critical point ρ_c^*. Those hypersurfaces which intersect the null shell at $\rho_c < \rho_c^*$ will reach the boundary, while those that intersect at $\rho_c > \rho_c^*$ never reach the boundary and indeed fall into the singularity.

To study the critical extremal hypersurface, we note that Eq. (4.9) may be considered as the energy conservation law for a one-dimensional dynamical system whose effective potential is given by $V_{eff}(\rho)$. Stable trajectories may occur around the minimum of the potential. Indeed, one may consider a special case where at the minimum both the velocity and the acceleration are zero. In this case, the particle remains fixed at this point. Of course, generally, it is not obvious whether such a point exists.

Actually, for a fixed turning point ρ_t, there is a free parameter in the effective potential given by ρ_c which may be tuned to a particular value $\rho_c = \rho_c^*$ such that the minimum of the effective potential becomes zero. In other words, one may have

$$\left.\frac{\partial V_{eff}(\rho)}{\partial\rho}\right|_{\rho_m,\rho_c^*} = 0, \qquad V_{eff}(\rho)|_{\rho_m,\rho_c^*} = 0. \tag{4.26}$$

Therefore, if the hypersurface intersects the null shell at the critical point, it remains fixed at ρ_m. Here ρ_m is a point which minimizes the effective potential. This is, indeed, the critical extremal hypersurface which is responsible for the linear growth in the intermediate region as we will see below.

To compute the width ℓ, time t, and $\mathcal{A}_n$ given in Eqs. (4.13) and (4.15) around the critical

extremal hypersurface, we will consider $\rho_c = \rho_c^*(1-\delta)$ for $\delta \ll 1$. In this limit the main contributions to the integrals in (4.13) and (4.15) come from the $\rho \sim \rho_m$ region, where we are close to the minimum of the effective potential. In this limit, the dominant term in Eqs. (4.13) and (4.15) is the term which contains the $\frac{1}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}}$ factor that develops a single pole singularity. More precisely, for $\rho_c = \rho_c^*(1-\delta)$ and near $\xi \sim \frac{\rho_m}{\rho_t} \equiv \xi_m$ one gets

$$R(\xi) = b\delta + \frac{1}{2}(\xi - \xi_m)^2 R''(\xi_m) + ..., \tag{4.27}$$

where $b = -\xi_c^* \frac{dR}{d\xi_c}\big|_{\xi_c=\xi_c^*}$. Therefore one arrives at

$$\begin{aligned} t &\approx \rho_t^z \int_{\xi\sim\xi_m} d\xi \frac{\xi_m^{2(z-1)} E^*}{h(\xi_m)\sqrt{b\delta + \frac{1}{2}R''(\xi_m)(\xi-\xi_m)^2}} = -\rho_t^z \frac{\xi_m^{2(z-1)} E^*}{h(\xi_m)\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}R''(\xi_m)}} \ln\delta, \\ \frac{\ell}{2} &\approx b_n \rho_t + \rho_t \int_{\xi\sim\xi_m} \frac{d\xi}{h(\xi_m)\sqrt{b\delta + \frac{1}{2}R''(\xi_m)(\xi-\xi_m)^2}} = b_n\rho_t - \frac{\rho_t}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}R''(\xi_m)}} \ln\delta, \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

with $b_n = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2n})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}$ and

$$R''(\xi_m) = \frac{\partial^2 R(\xi)}{\partial\xi^2}\Big|_{\xi_m,\rho_c^*} = \rho_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 R(\rho)}{\partial\rho^2}\Big|_{\rho_m,\rho_c^*}, \qquad E^* = -\left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_m}\right)^{z-1} \sqrt{-h(\xi_m)\left(\left(\frac{\rho_t}{\rho_m}\right)^{2n} - 1\right)}. \tag{4.29}$$

Here the functions $R(\xi)$ and $h(\xi)$ are those defined in Eq. (4.14). On the other hand, from Eqs. (4.15) and (4.16), one finds

$$\mathcal{A}_n \approx \mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac} + \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_t^{n-1}} \int_{\xi\sim\xi_m} \frac{d\xi}{\xi_m^{2n}\sqrt{b\delta + \frac{1}{2}R''(\xi_m)(\xi-\xi_m)^2}} = \mathcal{A}_n^{\rm vac} - \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_t^{n-1}} \frac{1}{\xi_m^{2n}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}R''(\xi_m)}} \ln\delta, \tag{4.30}$$

that leads to the following linear growth:

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_t^{n+z-1}} \frac{h(\xi_m)}{\xi_m^{2(n+z-1)} E^*}\, t, \tag{4.31}$$

which in the large ρ_t limit reads

$$\Delta\mathcal{A}_n \approx L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1} \frac{v_n t}{\rho_H^{n+z-1}}, \tag{4.32}$$

where

$$v_n = \sqrt{-\tilde{f}(\rho_m)}\, (\frac{\rho_H}{\rho_m})^{n+z-1}. \tag{4.33}$$

As we will see, v_n is a numerical factor which is independent of the shape of the entangling region

but depends on the final equilibrium state. This parameter might be thought of as the velocity of the linear growth.

4.3 Late time saturation

In general, the late time behavior of the entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function) after a global quench depends on details of the system as well as the shape of the entangling region. Nevertheless, one would expect that, if one waits enough, the entanglement entropy saturates to its equilibrium value which is essentially that of a thermal state. In this subsection, using Eq. (4.15) we show how this happens.

To proceed, we note that for time $t \gtrsim \rho_H$ the system is locally equilibrated and there is a saturation time after which the extremal hypersurface is entirely outside the horizon: $\rho_t < \rho_H$. On the other hand, since we are interested in large entangling regions, the main contribution to $\mathcal{A}_n$ comes from the geometry around the horizon. Actually, in the present case in order to compute the width ℓ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ one should expand Eqs. (4.13) and (4.15) for $\rho_c \approx \rho_t \to \rho_H$. Note that in this limit $P_{(f)v} \approx 0$. Therefore setting $\rho_c = \rho_t(1-\delta)$ for $\delta \ll 1$ and $\rho_t \approx \rho_H$ one finds

$$\frac{\ell}{2} \approx \rho_H \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}}, \qquad \mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_H^{n-1}} \int_{\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_H}}^{1-\delta} \frac{d\xi}{\xi^{2n}\sqrt{R(\xi)}}, \tag{4.34}$$

where ϵ is a UV cutoff. It is worth noting that in this limit, apart from the UV divergence of $\mathcal{A}_n$, which is due to a double zero at $\xi = 1$ in the square root, the main contributions to the width ℓ and $\mathcal{A}_n$ come from the $\xi = 1$ point. Around this point, one may recast $\mathcal{A}_n$ to the following form:

$$\mathcal{A}_n \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{\rho_H^{n-1}} \left(\int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}} + \int_{\frac{\epsilon}{\rho_H}}^{1} d\xi \, \frac{(1-\xi^{2n})}{\xi^{2n}\sqrt{R(\xi)}} \right). \tag{4.35}$$

One observes that the first term is exactly the one which appears in the expression of ℓ, and moreover the second term is finite at $\xi = 1$ while it diverges at the UV limit which is regularized by the cutoff ϵ. From these observations, one finds

$$\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm sat} \approx \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}}{(n-1)\epsilon^{n-1}} + \frac{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1}\ell}{2\rho_H^n} + \cdots \, , \tag{4.36}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n^{\rm sat}$ denotes the equilibrium value of $\mathcal{A}_n$. Note that for the entanglement entropy where $n = d-1$ it is indeed the same as that in the static black hole given in Eq. (A.9), as expected.

It is also possible to estimate the saturation time. To do so, it is, however, important to note that, as we have already mentioned, the nature of saturation (and therefore the saturation time) depends on the shape of the entangling region as well as the parameters of the model such as the effective dimension d and the dynamical exponent z. In particular, saturation could occur

continuously or discontinuously. In the discontinuous case, although $\mathcal{A}_n$ is continuous at t_s, its first derivative is discontinuous [21]. In the continuous case, the saturation is continuous, and then the saturation time may be calculated from Eq. (4.13) in the limit of $\rho_c = \rho_t(1-\delta)$ for $\rho_t \approx \rho_H$. In this limit we find

$$\frac{\ell}{2} \approx \rho_H \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}} \approx -\frac{\rho_H}{\sqrt{2n(d+z-1)}} \ln\delta, \qquad t_s \approx \rho_H^z \int_0^{1-\delta} \frac{d\xi\, \xi^{z-1}}{h(\xi)} \approx -\frac{\rho_H}{d+z-1} \ln\delta \tag{4.37}$$

which results to the following saturation time:

$$t_s \approx \rho_H^{z-1} \sqrt{\frac{2n}{d+z-1}}\, \frac{\ell}{2}. \tag{4.38}$$

Although in the case of discontinuous saturation there is no general formula for t_s, one may still find a distinctive time scale which could be thought of as a characteristic time for saturation. Indeed, assuming to have the linear growth all the way to the saturation point, one may find the distinctive time by equating (4.32) and (4.36). Doing so, one finds

$$-\frac{c_0}{\ell^{n-1}} + \frac{v_n t_l}{\rho_H^{n+z-1}} \approx \frac{\ell}{2\rho_H^n}, \tag{4.39}$$

which can be solved to find a characteristic time for saturation as follows:

$$v_n t_l \approx \rho_H^{z-1}\, \frac{\ell}{2} + c_0 \frac{\rho_H^{n+z-1}}{\ell^{n-1}}. \tag{4.40}$$

Since we are interested in $\ell \gg \rho_H$, the saturation time is in fact $t_l \sim \frac{\rho_H^{z-1}}{v_n}\frac{\ell}{2}$. Note that, in general, $t_l > t_s$. It is then evident that in the case of continuous saturation the linear growth cannot persist all the way to the saturation point, and the saturation time is given by t_s.

To see whether the saturation is continuous, one may look at $t - t_s$ in the limit of $\rho_c \to \rho_t$. In fact, saturation is continuous if $t - t_s$ becomes negative in the $\rho_t - \rho_c \to 0$ limit [21]. Actually, by making use of the definition of t_s and Eq. (4.13), it is straightforward to compute $t - t_s$ (the details of the following computations are summarized in Appendix B):

$$t - t_s = a\sqrt{2n\,\delta} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \qquad \text{with } \; a = \frac{\rho_t^z}{2} g(\rho_t) \left(\frac{1}{n\tilde{f}^2(\rho_t) F'(\rho_t)} - H(\rho_t) \right). \tag{4.41}$$

Here

$$F(\rho_t) = \rho_t \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^n d\xi}{\sqrt{h(\xi)(1-\xi^{2n})}}, \qquad H(\rho_t) = \int_0^1 \frac{\xi^{2(z-1)+n} d\xi}{\sqrt{h^3(\xi)(1-\xi^{2n})}}. \tag{4.42}$$

where $h(\xi)$ is given in Eq. (4.14). Saturation is continuous if $a < 0$ and discontinuous if $a > 0$. One can then look at the sign of a to see whether the saturation is continuous. Actually, in the present

case where we are considering the entanglement entropy for a strip, the saturation is discontinuous, and therefore t_l gives a rough estimation of the saturation time. Indeed, the situation is the same as that of the AdS-Vaidya metric [21].

4.4 More details for entanglement entropy

In this subsection, setting $n = d - 1$ we will present explicit values of the parameters we have considered in the previous subsections. Starting from the effective potential, one finds that the effective potential is minimized at ρ_m, which can be obtained from the following equation:

$$\rho_t^{2(d-1)} = \rho_m^{2(d-1)} \frac{2\rho_m \tilde{f}'(\rho_m) + (z-1)g^2(\rho_c)(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_c})^{2(z-1)}}{2\rho_m \tilde{f}'(\rho_m) - 4(d-1)\tilde{f}(\rho_m) + (z-1)g^2(\rho_c)\left(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_c}\right)^{2(d-2+z)}}. \tag{4.43}$$

Note that, unlike the $z = 1$ case, for a fixed horizon radius the value of the radial coordinate at which the effective potential is minimized, ρ_m, is both a function of the extremal hypersurface turning point in the bulk and the point where the hypersurface intersects the null shell. Therefore the turning point would not completely fix ρ_m.

We note, however, that for the critical extremal hypersurface defined in (4.26) it is possible to fix both ρ_m and ρ_c^*. Indeed, for the critical point we require that the effective potential is also zero at the minimum point. So, one finds

$$\rho_t^{2(d-1)} = \rho_m^{2(d-1)} \frac{4\tilde{f}(\rho_m) + g^2(\rho_c^*)(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_c^*})^{2(z-1)}}{4\tilde{f}(\rho_m) + g^2(\rho_c^*)(\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_c^*})^{2(d-2+z)}}. \tag{4.44}$$

This may also be considered as another relation which fixes ρ_m as a function of ρ_t at the critical point. Therefore, solving Eqs. (4.43) and (4.44) together one can find ρ_c^* and the corresponding ρ_m of the critical extremal hypersurface. Indeed, as far as our considerations in the previous subsection are concerned, these are what we need to proceed exploring the behavior of the entanglement entropy in the intermediate region. In particular, in the large ρ_t limit, assuming ρ_m and ρ_c^* remain finite, one gets

$$\frac{\rho_m}{\rho_H} = \left(\frac{2(d+z-2)}{d+z-3}\right)^{\frac{1}{d+z-1}}, \qquad \frac{\rho_c^*}{\rho_H} = 2\sqrt{\frac{d+z-1}{d+z-3}}\left(\frac{d+z-3}{2(d+z-2)}\right)^{\frac{d+z-2}{d+z-1}}. \tag{4.45}$$

It is worth noting that from the null energy condition (2.12) one has $d > 1, z > 1$, leading to $d + z > 2$. Therefore, the above expressions do not make sense for $d + z < 3$.[5] Thus, one could consider only the case where $d+z \geq 3$. In particular, for $d+z > 3$, where the above expressions are well defined, the entanglement entropy of the system exhibits a linear growth in the intermediate

[5] Actually, for $d + z < 3$ it is not possible to keep both ρ_m and ρ_c^* finite for large ρ_t. Indeed, in this case the entanglement entropy does not have a linear growth in the intermediate region.

region with the following velocity:

$$v_E = v_{d-1} = \left(\frac{d+z-3}{2(d+z-2)}\right)^{\frac{d+z-2}{d+z-1}} \sqrt{\frac{d+z-1}{d+z-3}}. \tag{4.46}$$

On the other hand, for $d+z=3$, although for large ρ_t, the critical point ρ_c^* remains finite, ρ_m becomes large and therefore the expressions in Eq. (4.45) are not valid. In fact, in the present case one arrives at

$$\rho_m = \sqrt{\rho_H \rho_t}, \qquad \rho_c^* = 2\rho_H. \tag{4.47}$$

In this case, the entanglement entropy still has a linear growth in the intermediate region with $v_E = 1$. Note that, even though one could be in an arbitrary dimension, the situation is very similar to that of two-dimensional quantum quench, where the velocity is $v_E = 1$ and the entanglement entropy saturates at $t_s \sim \frac{\ell}{2}$ [18].

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have considered an Einstein-Maxwell-dilaton theory with a nontrivial potential for the dilaton. We have obtained an analytic solution with a form of Vaidya-charged black hole solution with a hyperscaling violating factor. This solution may be thought of as a model describing gravitational collapse of charged matter to make a charged black hole with a hyperscaling violating factor.

From the gauge/gravity duality point of view, this geometry may provide a holographic description for a global quantum quench for a strongly coupled field theory with hyperscaling violation and an anisotropic scaling symmetry. The quantum quench which might be caused by a rapid change in the theory would correspond to an instant injection of matter in a small time interval δt.

This system may also be used to examine the process of the thermalization in the model after a global quantum quench. Therefore, in order to probe the thermalization caused by the global quantum quench we have studied the time dependence of entanglement entropy (Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function). Holographically, this can be done by extremizing a certain codimension-two hypersurface in the bulk geometry (2.14). Although we have mainly considered the entanglement entropy, we have worked in a setup so that the final results could be extended to the Wilson loop and equal-time two-point function of an operator with a large conformal dimension by setting $n = 2\frac{d-1}{D}$, and $n = \frac{d-1}{D}$, respectively.

In this paper, following Refs. [20, 21] we have considered the case where $\frac{\ell}{2} \gg \rho_H$ and therefore the evaluation of the corresponding hypersurface is controlled by regions inside and around the horizon. We have found that at early times the growth of the entanglement entropy depends on the dynamical exponent z, which indicates that entanglement entropy at early times is sensitive

to the initial state, while in the intermediate region it always grows linearly. We have, however, observed that, in the large z limit, the early time behavior is universal (in the sense that it is independent of n) and it grows linearly.

For the interesting case of $d = 2$ where the dual theory exhibits a Fermi surface [35], and for an arbitrary $z > 1$, the velocity reads

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq v_E = \left(\frac{z-1}{2z}\right)^{\frac{z}{z+1}} \sqrt{\frac{z+1}{z-1}} < 1. \tag{5.1}$$

Here one may reach $v_E = \frac{1}{2}$ in the large z limit where we have linear growth all the way from the initial phase up to the saturation phase. The velocity is sensitive to the dynamical exponent which in turns shows that the velocity (the growth) depends on the initial state. Note also that for $d \geq 3$ and arbitrary z the velocity is always less than one. It would be interesting to see whether this behavior of velocity may be understood by a free-streaming model [18].

Following Ref. [20], one may define a dimensionless rate of growth as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \frac{1}{L^{\frac{D}{d-1}n-1} \mathcal{A}_n^{(f)} \rho_H^{1-z}} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t}, \tag{5.2}$$

which, in the intermediate region where one has a linear growth, is equal to the velocity of evolution growth that is always less than one.

Although in this paper we have considered only the strip case, its generalization to a sphere should be straightforward. Moreover, it would also be interesting to study the model for the case where the background is charged: $Q(v) \neq 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Mohammad Reza Tanhayi for related discussions. M.R.M.M. also thanks Ali Mollabashi for useful discussions. We also thank J. F. Pedraza for his useful comments. This work is supported by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF).

APPENDIX

A ENTANGLEMENT ENTROPY FOR STATIC SOLUTION

In this Appendix we will review certain properties of the entanglement entropy of a strongly coupled field theory whose gravitational description is given by the background (2.2) (for details, see, for

example, [24, 32, 36]). To compute the entanglement entropy via AdS/CFT correspondence, one needs to minimize a surface in the bulk gravity. More precisely, given a gravitational theory with the bulk Newton constant G_N, the holographic entanglement entropy is given by [2, 3]

$$S_A = \frac{\text{area}(\gamma_A)}{4G_N}, \tag{A.1}$$

where γ_A is the minimal surface in the bulk whose boundary coincides with the boundary of the entangling region.

To proceed let us consider a long strip in the dual theory given by

$$t = \text{fixed}, \qquad -\frac{\ell}{2} \leq x_1 \leq \frac{\ell}{2}, \qquad 0 \leq x_i \leq L \qquad \text{for } i = 2, \cdots, D. \tag{A.2}$$

The codimension-two hypersurface γ_A in the bulk may be parametrized by $x_1 = x(r)$, so that the induced metric on this hypersurface, setting $r = \frac{1}{\rho}$, is

$$ds^2 = \rho^{2\frac{\theta}{D}-2}\left[\left(\frac{1}{f(\rho)} + x'^2\right)d\rho^2 + d\vec{x}^2\right]. \tag{A.3}$$

Therefore, the area A reads

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{L^{D-1}}{2}\int d\rho \frac{\sqrt{f^{-1} + x'^2}}{\rho^{d-1}}, \qquad \text{with} \quad f(\rho) = 1 - m\rho^{d-1+z} + Q^2\rho^{2(d-2+z)}. \tag{A.4}$$

where a prime represents derivative with respect to ρ. It is then straightforward to minimize the above area to arrive at

$$\frac{\ell}{2} = \int_0^{\rho_t} d\rho \frac{\left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_t}\right)^{d-1}}{\sqrt{f(\rho)\left(1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_t}\right)^{2(d-1)}\right)}}, \qquad S = \frac{L^{D-1}}{4G_N}\int_\epsilon^{\rho_t} d\rho \frac{1}{\rho^{d-1}\sqrt{f(\rho)\left(1 - \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho_t}\right)^{2(d-1)}\right)}} \tag{A.5}$$

where ρ_t is the extremal hypersurface turning point in the bulk and ϵ is a UV cutoff.

For $f = 1$, which corresponds to a vacuum solution, one finds [24]

$$S_{\text{vac}} = \begin{cases} \frac{L^{D-1}}{4G_N}\left(\frac{1}{(d-2)\epsilon^{d-2}} - \frac{c_{d-1}}{\ell^{d-2}}\right) & \text{for } d \neq 2, \\ \\ \frac{L^{D-1}}{4G_N}\ln\frac{\ell}{\epsilon}, & \text{for } d = 2. \end{cases} \tag{A.6}$$

On the other hand, for an excited state whose gravitational dual is provided by the black brane solution (2.2), the corresponding entanglement entropy may be found by minimizing the area when $f \neq 1$. In this case, in general, it is not possible to find an explicit expression for the entanglement

entropy, though in certain limits one may extract the general behavior of the entanglement entropy.

Actually, for sufficiently small entangling regions, it is possible to expand the area expression (A.4) to find the change of area due to the change of the geometry. More precisely, for $m\ell \ll 1$ one has

$$\Delta\mathcal{A} = \frac{L^{D-1}}{2}\int d\rho\ \ \delta_f \left(\frac{\sqrt{f^{-1}+x'^2}}{\rho^{d-1}}\right)\Big|_{f=1}\Delta f, \tag{A.7}$$

which leads to the following expression for the change of the entropy:

$$\Delta S = S - S_{\rm vac} = \frac{L^{D-1}\ell^z}{16G_N(d-2)}\left(c_m m\ell - c_Q Q^2 \ell^{d-2+z}\right), \tag{A.8}$$

where $c_m = c^z$ and $c_Q = c^{d+2z-3}$ with $c = \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})/(2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)}))$. Note that, upon the identification of entanglement temperature with ℓ, as $T_E \sim \ell^{-z}$ the above expression may be identified as the first law of entanglement entropy [37–40]; see also [41] for the $z = 1$ case.

For sufficiently large entangling regions, the main contributions come from the limit where the minimal surface is extended all the way to the horizon so that $\rho_t \sim \rho_H$ and then

$$\Delta S = \frac{L^{D-1}\ell}{8G_N\rho_H^{d-1}} = L^{D-1}\frac{\ell}{2}\mathcal{S}_{\rm BH}, \tag{A.9}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{BH}$ is the density of the thermal entropy given in Eq. (2.6).

B DETAILS OF COMPUTATIONS FOR SATURATION TIME

Here we briefly review the behavior of the extremal hypersurface near the saturation point. As mentioned before, the computations are similar to Ref. [21]; we just redo them with an emphasis on the role of z and θ. Near the saturation point, both ρ_c and ρ_t tends to ρ_b (i.e., the turning point of the extremal hypersurface in the static black brane background), so

$$\rho_c = \rho_t\,(1-\delta)\,, \qquad \rho_t = \rho_b\,(1+\epsilon)\,, \tag{B.1}$$

where $\epsilon, \delta \ll 1$. Now using (4.12) one arrives

$$E = -\frac{1}{2}g(\rho_t)\sqrt{2n\delta} + \dots\,. \tag{B.2}$$

In order to find the relation between these parameters setting $\rho_c = \rho_t = \rho_b$ and $P_{(f)v} = 0$ in (4.13), one finds

$$\frac{\ell}{2} = \rho_b \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi\ \xi^n}{\sqrt{(1-\xi^{2n})\,h(\xi)}} \equiv F(\rho_b). \tag{B.3}$$

Using this definition leads to

$$F(\rho_b) - F(\rho_t) = \rho_t \int_{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}}^1 \frac{d\xi\ \xi^n}{\sqrt{1-\xi^{2n}}} - \rho_t \int_{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}}^1 \frac{d\xi}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}} + \rho_t \int_0^1 d\xi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{R(\xi)}} - \frac{\xi^n}{\sqrt{(1-\xi^{2n})h(\xi)}} \right). \tag{B.4}$$

At leading order the first two terms have the same contribution $\frac{\rho_t}{n}\sqrt{2n\delta}$, but with different signs, and therefore cancel each other. The contribution of the third term is $\frac{-\rho_t g(\rho_t)}{2nh(1)}\sqrt{2n\delta}$, leading to

$$F(\rho_b) - F(\rho_t) = \frac{g(\rho_t)}{h(1)} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2n}}, \tag{B.5}$$

which after using (B.1) becomes

$$\epsilon = \frac{g(\rho_t)}{h(1)F'(\rho_t)} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2n}}. \tag{B.6}$$

Now by considering the definition of t_s

$$t_s = \rho_b^z \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi\ \xi^{z-1}}{h(\xi)\big|_{\rho_t=\rho_b}}, \tag{B.7}$$

one can rewrite (4.13) as

$$t - t_s = \rho_t^z \int_{\frac{\rho_b}{\rho_t}}^{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}} \frac{d\xi\ \xi^{z-1}}{h(\xi)} + \rho_t^z \int_0^1 \frac{d\xi\ \xi^{2(z-1)}E}{h(\xi)\sqrt{R(\xi)}} - \rho_t^z \int_{\frac{\rho_c}{\rho_t}}^1 \frac{d\xi\ \xi^{2(z-1)}E}{h(\xi)\sqrt{R(\xi)}}. \tag{B.8}$$

The contributions of the first two terms are $\frac{\rho_t^z}{h(1)}\epsilon$ and $\rho_t^z EH(\rho_t)$, respectively, where $H(\rho_t)$ is defined in (4.42). The third term is of the order of $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ and we neglect it. Finally, plugging these expressions into (B.8) and using (B.2) and (B.6) one can find (4.41).

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 231 (1998) [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113 (1999)] [hep-th/9711200].

[2] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from AdS/CFT,"

Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 181602 [hep-th/0603001].

[3] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Aspects of Holographic Entanglement Entropy," JHEP **0608** (2006) 045 [hep-th/0605073].

[4] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, "A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal," JHEP **0707**, 062 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0016 [hep-th]].

[5] J. Abajo-Arrastia, J. Aparicio and E. Lopez, "Holographic Evolution of Entanglement Entropy," JHEP **1011**, 149 (2010) [arXiv:1006.4090 [hep-th]].

[6] T. Albash and C. V. Johnson, "Evolution of Holographic Entanglement Entropy after Thermal and Electromagnetic Quenches," New J. Phys. **13**, 045017 (2011) [arXiv:1008.3027 [hep-th]].

[7] V. Balasubramanian, A. Bernamonti, J. de Boer, N. Copland, B. Craps, E. Keski-Vakkuri, B. Muller and A. Schafer *et al.*, "Thermalization of Strongly Coupled Field Theories," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 191601 (2011) [arXiv:1012.4753 [hep-th]].

[8] J. Aparicio and E. Lopez, "Evolution of Two-Point Functions from Holography," JHEP **1112**, 082 (2011) [arXiv:1109.3571 [hep-th]].

[9] D. Galante and M. Schvellinger, "Thermalization with a chemical potential from AdS spaces," JHEP **1207**, 096 (2012) [arXiv:1205.1548 [hep-th]].

[10] E. Caceres and A. Kundu, "Holographic Thermalization with Chemical Potential," JHEP **1209**, 055 (2012) [arXiv:1205.2354 [hep-th]].

[11] W. Baron, D. Galante and M. Schvellinger, "Dynamics of holographic thermalization," JHEP **1303**, 070 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5234 [hep-th]].

[12] W. Fischler and S. Kundu, "Strongly Coupled Gauge Theories: High and Low Temperature Behavior of Non-local Observables," JHEP **1305**, 098 (2013) [arXiv:1212.2643 [hep-th]].

[13] W. Fischler, A. Kundu and S. Kundu, "Holographic Mutual Information at Finite Temperature," Phys. Rev. D **87**, 126012 (2013) [arXiv:1212.4764 [hep-th]].

[14] Y. -Z. Li, S. -F. Wu, Y. -Q. Wang and G. -H. Yang, JHEP **1309**, 057 (2013) [arXiv:1306.0210 [hep-th]].

[15] S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, "Black holes and the butterfly effect," arXiv:1306.0622 [hep-th].

[16] P. Caputa, G. Mandal and R. Sinha, "Dynamical entanglement entropy with angular momentum and U(1) charge," JHEP **1311**, 052 (2013) [arXiv:1306.4974 [hep-th]].

[17] W. Fischler, S. Kundu and J. F. Pedraza, "Entanglement and out-of-equilibrium dynamics in holographic models of de Sitter QFTs," arXiv:1311.5519 [hep-th].

[18] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, "Evolution of Entanglement Entropy in One-Dimensional Systems," J. Stat. Mech. **0504**, P04010 (2005), arXiv:cond-mat/0503393 [cond-mat].

[19] V. E. Hubeny, "Extremal surfaces as bulk probes in AdS/CFT," JHEP **1207**, 093 (2012) [arXiv:1203.1044 [hep-th]].

[20] H. Liu and S. J. Suh, "Entanglement Tsunami: Universal Scaling in Holographic Thermalization," arXiv:1305.7244 [hep-th].

[21] H. Liu and S. J. Suh, "Entanglement growth during thermalization in holographic systems," arXiv:1311.1200 [hep-th].

[22] C. Charmousis, B. Gouteraux, B. S. Kim, E. Kiritsis and R. Meyer, "Effective Holographic Theories for low-temperature condensed matter systems," JHEP **1011**, 151 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4690 [hep-th]].

[23] B. Gouteraux and E. Kiritsis, JHEP **1112**, 036 (2011) [arXiv:1107.2116 [hep-th]].

[24] X. Dong, S. Harrison, S. Kachru, G. Torroba and H. Wang, "Aspects of holography for theories with hyperscaling violation," JHEP **1206**, 041 (2012) [arXiv:1201.1905 [hep-th]].

[25] V. Keranen, E. Keski-Vakkuri and L. Thorlacius, "Thermalization and entanglement following a non-relativistic holographic quench," Phys. Rev. D **85**, 026005 (2012) [arXiv:1110.5035 [hep-th]].

[26] S. -J. Rey and J. -T. Yee, "Macroscopic strings as heavy quarks in large N gauge theory and anti-de Sitter supergravity," Eur. Phys. J. C **22**, 379 (2001) [hep-th/9803001].

[27] J. M. Maldacena, "Wilson loops in large N field theories," Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4859 (1998) [hep-th/9803002].

[28] V. Balasubramanian and S. F. Ross, "Holographic particle detection," Phys. Rev. D **61**, 044007 (2000) [hep-th/9906226].

[29] H. Ebrahim and M. Headrick, "Instantaneous Thermalization in Holographic Plasmas," arXiv:1010.5443 [hep-th].

[30] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, "Time-dependence of correlation functions following a quantum quench," Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** 136801 (2006) [cond-mat/0601225].

[31] P. Fonda, L. Franti, V. Keranen, E. Keski-Vakkuri, L. Thorlacius and E. Tonni, "Holographic thermalization with Lifshitz scaling and hyperscaling violation," arXiv:1401.6088 [hep-th].

[32] M. Alishahiha, E. O Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, "Charged Black Branes with Hyperscaling Violating Factor," JHEP **1211**, 137 (2012) [arXiv:1209.3946 [hep-th]].

[33] M. Serbyn, Z. Papi, D. A. Abanin, "Universal slow growth of entanglement in interacting strongly disordered systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 260601 (2013) [arXiv:1304.4605 [cond-mat.str-el]].

[34] V. E. Hubeny and H. Maxfield, "Holographic probes of collapsing black holes," arXiv:1312.6887 [hep-th].

[35] N. Ogawa, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, "Holographic Fermi Surfaces and Entanglement Entropy," JHEP **1201**, 125 (2012) [arXiv:1111.1023 [hep-th]].

[36] M. Alishahiha and H. Yavartanoo, "On Holography with Hyperscaling Violation," arXiv:1208.6197 [hep-th].

[37] J. Bhattacharya, M. Nozaki, T. Takayanagi and T. Ugajin, "Thermodynamical Property of Entanglement Entropy for Excited States," Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, no. 9, 091602 (2013) [arXiv:1212.1164].

[38] D. Allahbakhshi, M. Alishahiha and A. Naseh, "Entanglement Thermodynamics," JHEP **1308**, 102 (2013) [arXiv:1305.2728 [hep-th]].

[39] D. D. Blanco, H. Casini, L. -Y. Hung and R. C. Myers, "Relative Entropy and Holography," JHEP **1308**, 060 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3182 [hep-th]].

[40] G. Wong, I. Klich, L. A. Pando Zayas and D. Vaman, "Entanglement Temperature and Entanglement Entropy of Excited States," arXiv:1305.3291 [hep-th].

[41] S. S. Pal, "Extremal Surfaces And Entanglement Entropy," arXiv:1312.0088 [hep-th].